

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,287	07/03/2003	Horst Corduan	LINDE-597 PI	5770	
23599	7590 07/14/2005		EXAM	INER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			LEUNG, RICHARD L		
2200 CLARI SUITE 1400	ENDON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, VA 22201		3744	3744	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application Application					
## Examiner Richard L. Leung 3744 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of F THIS COMMUNICATION. Edemiolos of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 3K0, MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will expire 3K0, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will expire 3K0, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure for grow which the set of extended period for reply will, by statistic, sent the application, even if threely filed, may reduce any corresponder than abulgations. Set of 27R 1-70(b). Status 1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2005. 2a) ★ This action is FINAL. 2b) ★ This action is non-final. 3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ★ Claim(s) 1:3/are allowed. 6) ★ Claim(s) 1:3/are allowed. 6) ★ Claim(s) 1:3/are allowed. 7) ★ Claim(s) 1:3/are allowed. 8) ★ Claim(s) 1:3/are allowed. 10) ★ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a) ★ accepted or b) ★ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ★ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a) ★ accepted or b) ★ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ★ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a) ★ accepted or b) ★ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objecte			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Richard L Leung 3744 **The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time rary be available under the provision of 3 OFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raply bet timely find If the period for raply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for raply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for raply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for raply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for raply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for raply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for raply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the above that the mainty of the communication of the cambination of the communication of	Office Action Summary		10/612,287	CORDUAN ET AL.	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edensions of time may be available used the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period time may be available used the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period time may be available used the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period from six period to the six of the six of the state of			Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. □ Statements of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled □ the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. □ If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. □ Failure to reply when the east or adhead period for reply thely shales, cause the application to become ABANCHOE (03 10.2, € 130). □ Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 31 May 2005. □ This action is FINAL. □ Dip This action is non-final. □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Since this application is objected to by the Examiner. □ □ Claim(s) 1-16.19 and 20 is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) 1-16.19 and 2					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estate-biolox of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTIS from the multip date of this communication. If the period or reply specified above is less than think (20) days, a rip way to reply within the action reply specified or reply welf in the period or reply welf in the section of the communication. Failure to reply within the set or actended period for reply welf. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) is not this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-16, 19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-16, 19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-16, 19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The drawing(s) filed oppies of the priority documents have been received in Applica			pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 17 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 116.19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date	THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status				
4)	2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 17 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-16.19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are objected to.	own from consideration.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Applicati	ion Papers			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	its have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been readule (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Characteristics of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N 5) Notice of Infor	lail Date	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/612,287

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on 31 May 2005. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 9, 11, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5755280 (da Costa et al.). Referring particularly to Fig. 1, da Costa et al. disclose a plate heat exchanger for the indirect heat exchange between at least one heat transfer medium/cooling medium A and a plurality of fluid flows B-D comprising a heat exchange core (plate bundle) 3 having a plurality of heat exchange passages for flow of at least one heat transfer medium/cooling medium A, flow of a first fluid C, and flow of a second fluid D, said heat exchanger core 3 having a first component area (plate sub-bundle) 3b containing heat exchange passages for the first fluid flow C and a second component area (plate sub-bundle) 3c containing heat exchange passages for the second fluid flow D, wherein said first and second component areas 3b and 3c are not in fluid communication, said first and second component areas 3b and 3c each extending over the height of the heat exchanger core

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/612,287

Art Unit: 3744

3, said passages of said first and second component areas 3b and 3c are rectilinear over the height of said heat exchanger core 3, and each of said first and second component areas 3b and 3c extending over only part of the width of the heat exchanger core 3. Said heat exchanger core 3 has a plurality of separating plates 5 arranged parallel to one another, wherein the spaces between adjacent pairs of plates contain said heat exchange passages for the heat transfer/cooling medium A, the first fluid flow C, and the second fluid flow D (column 3, lines 23-34), wherein said first and second component areas 3b and 3c each extend over the depth of the heat exchanger core 3, and wherein said heat exchange passages for the flow of heat transfer medium/cooling medium A extend uniformly over the entire width of the heat exchanger core 3 (Figs. 1 and 2). Said first component area 3b communicates with a single distributor and collector 1b that traverse the depth of the heat exchanger core 3 (Figs. 1 and 2), and said heat exchanger core 3 is subdivided along its width by separating sheets 4 to form said first and second component areas 3b and 3c.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6-8 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5755280 (da Costa et al.). As discussed above regarding claims 1-5, the example discussed by da Costa et al. disclose all the limitations of the claims except for

Application/Control Number: 10/612,287

Art Unit: 3744

expressly demonstrating a third component area which is not in fluid communication with said first and second component areas 3b and 3c and which extends over the entire height of said heat exchanger core 3, said third component area containing heat exchange passages for flow of a third fluid flow that are rectilinear over the height of said heat exchanger core 3, said third component area extending over only part of the width of the heat exchanger core 3. It should be noted, however, that da Costa et al. do suggest that additional fluid flows could be included, indicating that the heat transfer medium/cooling medium A can be heat exchanged with "N" number of transversely circulating fluids (column 2, lines 62-67) and that the example discussed is only one embodiment showing three fluids. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a third component area for a third fluid flow in the heat exchanger because the additional fluid flow would allow for greater heat transfer, which may be necessary depending upon the exact application. Understandably, said third component area would be constructed in the same manner as said first and second component areas 3b and 3c and be likewise separated from the other components.

7. Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art, as disclosed by figures 1-4 and the present specification in view of US 5755280 (da Costa et al.). The admitted prior art discloses a process for the indirect heat exchange of several fluid flows 30, 40, and 50 with a heat transfer medium/cooling medium 10 and 20 in a heat exchanger core 9, particularly a process for cryogenic air-separation comprising separating air 10 into an oxygen product stream

Application/Control Number: 10/612,287

Art Unit: 3744

7 and a nitrogen product stream 8 in an air rectification system having a heat exchanger 1 for cooling feed air 10, comprising routing the heat transfer medium/cooling medium 10 and 20, a first fluid flow 40 and a second fluid flow 30 through a plurality of heat exchange passages. Said first fluid flow 40 is routed through a first component area 48 of the heat exchanger core 9 and said second fluid flow 30 is routed through a second component area 38 of the heat exchanger core 9, said first and second component areas 48 and 38 are not in fluid communication and each extends over the entire height of the heat exchanger core 9. It is disclosed that said first and second fluid flows 40 and 30 are obtained by cryogenic separation of feed air (page 11, lines 18-20) and are brought into indirect heat exchange with air (page 12, lines 1-2). It is further disclosed that said fluid flows 40 and 30 have a pressure of roughly 1.3 bar (page 11, lines 18-20) and that an additional fluid flow 60 is routed through said heat exchanger core 9 having a pressure of more than 5 bar (page 13, lines 20-21 and Fig. 4). The admitted prior art fails to disclose that the flow through said passages of the first and second component areas 48 and 38 is rectilinear over the height of said heat exchanger core 9, or that each of said first and second component areas extend over only part of the width of the heat exchanger core 9. As already discussed above, da Costa et al. teach a plate heat exchanger for the indirect heat exchange between at least one heat transfer medium/cooling medium A and a plurality of fluid flows B-D comprising a heat exchange core (plate bundle) 3 having a plurality of heat exchange passages for flow of at least one heat transfer medium/cooling medium A, flow of a first fluid C, and flow of a second fluid D, said heat exchanger core 3 having a first component area (plate sub-bundle) 3b

Application/Control Number: 10/612,287

Art Unit: 3744

containing heat exchange passages for the first fluid flow C and a second component area (plate sub-bundle) 3c containing heat exchange passages for the second fluid flow D, wherein said first and second component areas 3b and 3c are not in fluid communication, said first and second component areas 3b and 3c each extending over the height of the heat exchanger core 3, said passages of said first and second component areas 3b and 3c are rectilinear over the height of said heat exchanger core 3, and each of said first and second component areas 3b and 3c extending over only part of the width of the heat exchanger core 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the heat exchanger in the admitted prior art air separation process such that said first and second component areas are rectilinear over the height of said heat exchanger core and extend over only part of the width of the heat exchanger core because da Costa et al. explicitly teach that a heat exchanger of this arrangement has the advantages of being more compact, being simpler in construction, and having less fluid head loss (column 1, lines 54-60).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 17 is allowed.
- 9. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-16, 19 and 20 have been considered but are not persuasive in view of the new grounds of rejection. This Office

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/612,287

Art Unit: 3744

Action is being made non-final to afford the Applicants an opportunity to respond to the

new grounds of rejections.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard L. Leung whose telephone number is 571-272-

4811. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Leung

Examiner

Art Unit 3744

CHERYLTYLER

PAJEODY DATENT EYAM

rll